IN THE WAITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MEDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

165

Plaintiff: LEBARON HARRIS	FUED 17-CV-1303
VS.	SCRANTON
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	JUL 2 4 2017
AMENDED FEDERAL TORT CLAIM ACT 284,S.C. \$2676	PER
	DEPUTY CLERK

1. LEBARON HARRES, currently residing at U.S.P. LENESBURE-SMU, P.O. BOX 1000; LEWISBURE PA. 17837. The Plaintiff is geting in prose capacity and regnest that his claim be construed liberally under Haines V. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520,
92 S. Ct. 594, 30 L. Ed. 21 652 (1972), also Doolittle V. Holmes, Fed. Appx 133 (2009).

## INTRODUCTION

2. This is a civil suit being filed in compliance with the FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT 28 U.S. C. \$ 2676-79. Before this act was federally created agency could be sued for it's torts, if Congress had given the agency the power to "sue and be sued." Under the FTCA, however, the authority of any federal agency to sue or be sued in it's own name shall not be construed to authorize suits against such federal agency's on claims which are recongnizable under 28 U.S.C. \$ 1346(b). Instead, the remedies provided by the FTCA are the exclusive remedy for claims that are cognizable under 28 U.S.C. A. \$ 1346(b), which grants the federal district court jurisdiction over tort claim against the UNITED STATES.

Under 28 U.S.C.A. § 2679(a), the statutory authority of a federal agency to be sued does not permit a tort suit directly against the agency if the suit is based on conduct covered under the FTCA. Instead, the UNITED STATES must be named as the defendant, Moreover, the authority of a federal agency to sue or be sued in its own name does not constitute a waiver of the government's sovereign immunity separate and apart from the provision of the FTCA, and unless some other ACT provides a waiver of sovereign immunity a federal agency may not be sued in tort, exen if the action is based on a tort that is not covered by the FTCA. The effect of 28 U.S.C.A. § 2679(a), is that tort actions against suable federal agencies are placed on the same footing as actions against agencies that do not have the power to sue or be sued.

3. The FTCA was amended in 1988 to provide that the remedy against the UNITED STATES under the FTCA" for injury or loss of property, or personal injury or death arising or resulting from the negligant or wrongful act or amission of any employee of the Bovernment, while acting within the scope of his/her office or employment, is exclusive of any other civil action. This provision was intended to substitute the UNITED STATES, as the only permission be

20f5

defendant in all common-law tort actions against federal employees, who were acting in the scape of employment. The amendment also provides that "Ita Iny other civil action or proceeding for money damages arising out of or relating to the same subject matter against employee or the employee's estate is precluded without regard to when the act or omission occurred."

4. Under 28 U.S.C.A. \$ 2679 (b) (i), a tort action against a federal employee who was acting within the scope of his/her employment, must proceed exclusively against the UNITED STATES, under the federal Tort Claims Act, even if this procedure leaves the plaintiff without a remedy. The plaintiff may be left without any remedy, for example, when the Claim is based on acts for which the UNITED STATES may not be sued under the FTCA, or when the FTCA Claim is barred by the statute of limitations.

## JURISDICTION

5. This action is being brought pursuant to the FEDERAL TORT CLAIMS ACT, under Titles 28 U.S.C. \$ 9 2671 Hora 2679.

## FACTS

b. The plaintiff listed ghove is currently residing at U.S.P. LEWISBURG - SMU, P.O. BOX 1000, LEWISBURG PA. 17837. The plaintiff has filed [i.e. exhausted remedy] STANDARD FORM 95 as required under the FTCA.

- 7. The defendant, UNITED STATES is being sued directly for negligence, negligence per sc, wrongful acts or amission of any employee of the government while acting within the scope of this office or employment. Can be served at, Office of GENERAL COUNSEL, FEDERAL BUREAU of PRISONS, 320 First Street N.W., Washing TON D.C. 20530.
- 8. On or about 1/10/16, prisoners began to complain of symptoms of severe headaches, diarrhea, stomach pains and sweating, after consuming the VETERAN'S DAY meal.
- 9. On or about 11/26/16, plaintiff above began to suffer from some of the same gilments of the other prisoner's.
- 10. On 11/30/16, a memorandum from the warden was passed out, it's subject being that of: Fastrointesting Illness.

1. On 12/1/16, the plaintiff was maved to isolation for quarantine.
2. On 12/2/16, the HEALTH INSPECTOR was called into the institution.
3. The SMU Repulation remained on a modified schedule from on or about 11/25/16, until 12/9/16.
4. The plaintiff was release from guarantine on 12/1/16.
15. The plaintiff remained sick from 1/26/16, until on or about 12/11/16.
1-15. Paragraphs 1 thru 15 are re-alleged here as if fully set forth herein.
b. Under 18 4.5.C. \$5 4041, \$4042 (a) (2) the BUREAU of PRISONS has a mandated duty to provide healthy and nutri- tions meals to all prisoners here at 4.5.P. Lewisburg.
7. On 11/10/16, the B.O.f. was in breach of it's mandated duties under the policies and regulations implimented by Congress when they served the plaintiff and other prisoners not mentioned, inadequate, spoiled and other wise unhealthy food.
8. As a proximate result from their negligence the plaintiff mentioned above and other unmentioned prisoners received salmonelly - bacterial poisoning.
9. As a proximate result from this breach in mandated duties the plaintiff's prisoner rights' were violated.  9. Plaintiff has the right to expect that staff and/or other personned will treat the plaintiff as a human being in a trespectful, impartial and fair manner.  1. I will treat the plaintiff as a human being in a trespectful, impartial and fair manner.  1. I will treat the plaintiff as a human being in a trespectful, impartial and fair manner.  1. I will treat the plaintiff as a human being in a treatment of the right to be informed and know the rules and rocedures and/or schedules concerning the operation of this institution.
C. Paintiff has the right to health care, which includes nutritions

4 of 5

- 20. Paragraph 14(a), was breached when placed under "isolation/quarantine' status, and was denied adequate medical treatment.
- 21. Gragraph 19 (b), was breached when warden failed to pass out memorandums providing prisoners with notice that the institution was on quarantine status, and how long said status was going to last,
- 22. larggraph 19(c), was breached when defendant's served in a dequate, spoiled and otherwise unhealthy food from an unsanitary kitchen that was contaminated and that contamination caused the plaintiff to ingest salmonella-bacterial poisoning. Plaintiff never had a stool sample taken or blood drawn to find out the seriousness of injury.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the plaintiff being infected with the salmonella bacteria due to M.S.P. LEWISBURG staff's negligence, the plaintiff suffered severe and extremely painful symptoms that included diarrhea and severe headaches and stomach pains, further, the plaintiff was forced to endure the pain associated with the above mentioned symptoms in which plaintiff suffered by being infected with "salmonella poison"
- 24. The actions and omissions of M.S.P. Lewesburg Staff on 11/10/16, in preparing and serving food to plaintiff and others in the Smu population in whole, amounts to grass negligence, a failure to adequately train and the denial of my mandatory rights as provider under 18 4.s.c. \$35 4042. Further, the intentional denial of providing me with necessary medical treatment for my illness constitute negligence, medical neglect.
- 25. The UNITED STATES is responsible under the FTCA, for the acts described herein based on the wrongful acts or omissions of federal employees acting within the scope of his/her employment when he/she is doing something to further the outies he/she owes to his/her employer and the conduct is the type that he/she was hired to do.
- 26. Inrsnant to 28 U.S.C.\$ 2675 (b) and 28 C.F.R.\$ 14.2, the undersigned plaintiff is required to request a specific sum certain for the damages the I sustained, and therefore the mentioned plaintiff has requested a pecific damages and/or settlement with the agency responsible (Bureth of Presons) for "salmonella-bacteria poisoning, negligence, and deliberate refusal to treat plaintiff for symptoms. \* 1890,000.00

Mr	Climat and	c Amil 60 To U	10th 76:-	
<u> </u>	CUIED ON THE	S DAY OF JULK	19", 4017.	
			RestEd	CIRULLY SUBMITTED,
		-	/5/6	Marin Marin
			<u> L</u>	BAKON HARRES #09564
			-	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
77.				
				N
****				

Inmate Name: BARON HARRES
Register Number: 045 4 02 United States Penitentiary
PO. Box 1000
Lewisburg, PA 17837

RECEIVED
SCRANTON

JUL 2 7717

PER

OFFICE of the CLEAK
UNITED STREE INTROT COURT
for the
Milliam J. Nealon Recent high J. M. S. Columbia
William J. Nealon Recent high J. M. S. Columbia
235 North Wisherston NYEME
P. O. BOX 1148

SCRANTON, PA. 18501-1148

MI 19 300

**USMS X-RAY**